

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/603,656 06/26/2003 Peter Borden Mackenzie 008111-157 4014 7590 05/20/2004 **EXAMINER** NHAT D. PHAN, ESQ. HARLAN, ROBERT D BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404 1713

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/603,6	56	MACKENZIE ET AL.		
		Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit		
•		Robert D	. Harlan	1713	(C)	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
		OD DEDIVIS SET 1	TO EVDIDE 2 MONT	H(C) EDOM		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum si re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. 30) days, a reply within the state tatutory period will apply and very will, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) of vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS for plication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely rom the mailing date of this co		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	•			
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is a	non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🛛	4) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by th	ie Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	ce Action or form PT	O-152.	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)			•		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	P10/SB/08)	6) Other:	ii r _i ateni Application (PTC	<i>)-</i> 102)	
	1.00					

Application/Control Number: 10/603,656

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Johnson et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,403,738 (hereinafter "Johnson"). See Johnson, Examples 1-33.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010

Application/Control Number: 10/603,656 Art Unit: 1713

(Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed.
Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA
1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and,
In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

- 4. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 5. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 6. Claims 1-47 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 and 1-43 of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,660,677 and 6,103,658, respectively. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims cover substantially identical subject matter.

Art Unit: 1713

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-1102 for regular communications and (571) 273-1102 for After Final communications.
- 9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

rdh May 15, 2004